



# UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					K	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/057,26	1 04/08/	98 O'HAGAN		Т	TELNP0157US	
-			一	EXAMINER		
023623 TM02/0730 AMIN ESCHWEILER & TUROCY, LLP				KNEPPER-D		
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	9TH STREE			2645	13	
				DATE MAILED:	07/30/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

·	y 0	Application No	)	Applicant(s)	
		09/057,261	OU JACAN TIMOTHY P		THY P.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		David D. Knep	per	2645	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
Dariad for	r Renly				
THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing days and the set of the set	136(a). In no event, ho ply within the statutory i I will apply and will exp	minimum of thirty (30) do	timely filed  ays will be considered tim  m the mailing date of this  JFD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iely. communication.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	3 May 2001 .			
1) 🛛	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is nor	n-final.		
2a)⊠	This double to the terroller	wance except fo	r formal matters.	prosecution as to	the merits is
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims				,
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 8-22 is/are pending in	the application.			
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 21 is/are w	ithdrawn from co	onsideration.		
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,8-18,20 and 22 is/are reject	ted.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requ	uirement.		
	tion Papers				
اره	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) 🔲 ot	ojected to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	e heid in abeyance	. See 31 CHR 1.00	(a).
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ app	roved b)L_ disap	proved by the Exa	miner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Offic	e action.		
12)[	] The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (t).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been	received.		
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been	received in Appl	ication No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa     See the attached detailed Office action for a	i Bureau ibo i b	(uic 17.2(a)).		onai Stage
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provis	ional application).
	The translation of the foreign language	e provisional app	lication has beer	received.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	mestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.	
Attachm			4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Pap	er No(s)
2\ \ \ N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ıformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) o(s)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application	n (PTO-152)
1					

Application/Control Number: 09/057,261

Art Unit: 2645

Paper #13

Page 2

1. Applicant's correspondence filed on 18 May 2001 (paper #12 has been received and considered. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-18, 20 and 22 are pending. Claims 3, 6 and 7 were canceled by the applicant.

2. Claims 19 and 21 are withdrawn from consideration based on the previous conversation with Himanshu Main who elected without traverse to prosecute invention I as explained in the previous Office action (paper #10), items 1-4. The restriction requirement is now made **final**. A complete response must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144). See MPEP 821.01).

#### **Title**

3. The title is objected to because it is generic; contains legalist language "method and system" which is only appropriate for the claims; and fails to describe the invention in a useful manner.

#### Claims

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-18, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Barclay (5,960,399).

A "host computer", "mobile terminal", "dictionary file and syntax file" are anticipated by Barclay because these items are inherent in any use of the internet. Especially those that use HTTP and/or TCP/IP as the protocols upon which to share information between host and user (or as Barclay says, <u>client</u>, see figures 1, 4 and 6).

It is noted that Barclay does not anticipate "a GUI display file having attached thereto at least one of a dictionary file and syntax file . . . content specific to the GUI display file". However, he teaches that this is prior art technology performed by an Internet based speech recognition system called SAM which requires the speech recognizer software to reside at the client . . . the grammar is, in effect distributed and downloaded when a Web page for specific topics is entered . . . the vocabularies and grammars are small. This teaches that it was well known prior to 1996 to provide small grammars and vocabularies which are specific to a GUI such as are commonly known to exist on a web page for interaction at remote locations through common TCP, IP and HTTP protocols. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the pertinent art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the limited capability of SAM noted above with the system of Barclay because Barclay explicitly teaches that he can perform speech recognition. Barclay improves upon such a limited system by also allowing speaker recognition but explains in his background sufficiently that one of ordinary skill in the art would know that a simplification such as using limited vocabulary (dictionary) and syntax (grammar) specific to a GUI would only yield expected results

Application/Control Number: 09/057,261

Art Unit: 2645

Page 4
Paper #13

that are well known in the art.

### **Comments**

6. The arguments in paper #12 point out that Baji does not teach limited vocabulary and syntax that is explicitly limited to a GUI display file communicated between host and terminal. Claim language was added to implement this feature and is addressed in the rejection above based on Barclay.

## 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Box AF Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

TC2600 Fax Center (703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Knepper whose telephone number is (703) 305-9644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 07:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895.

Application/Control Number: 09/057,261

Art Unit: 2645 Paper #13

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

David D. Knepper Primary Examiner Page 5

**Art Unit 2645** July 25, 2001